

RECTANGULAR ORBITS OF THE CURVED 4-BODY PROBLEM

Florin Diacu^{1,2} and Brendan Thorn²

¹Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences
and

²Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Victoria
P.O. Box 3060 STN CSC
Victoria, BC, Canada, V8W 3R4
diacu@uvic.ca and bthorn@uvic.ca

February 22, 2013

ABSTRACT. We consider the 2- and 3-dimensional 4-body problem in spaces of constant curvature and study the existence of rectangular relative equilibria, i.e. configurations that maintain constant mutual distances, and rectangular rotopulsators, i.e. orbits that both rotate and change size in time, but preserve a rectangular shape. We prove the existence of several large classes of solutions of this type, but also obtain some non-existence results.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the curved 4-body problem, i.e. the ordinary differential equations that describe the motion of 4 point masses in spaces of constant Gaussian curvature, namely the unit spheres $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{S}^3$, for positive curvature, and the unit hyperbolic spheres $\mathbb{H}^2$ and $\mathbb{H}^3$, for negative curvature. These equations provide a natural extension of the classical Newtonian equations from Euclidean space. It is not difficult to show that the motions on spheres and hyperbolic spheres of any positive and negative curvature, respectively, can be reduced to the above manifolds, [3]. The 2-body case has a long history, starting with Bolyai, Lobachevsky, Dirichlet, Lipschitz, Killing, Liebmman, and others, [3], [4]. The general case of N bodies, $N \geq 3$, has been recently developed in a suitable framework, [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12].

The goal of this paper is to study the existence of classes of solutions of the curved 4-body problem that (with one exception) maintain rectangular configurations all along the motion. By a rectangle we mean a quadrilateral with congruent angles whose opposite sides are congruent relative to the metric of the respective manifolds.

We will be interested both in *relative equilibria*, i.e. orbits for which the mutual distances remain constant during the motion, and *rotopulsators*, i.e. solutions that both rotate and change size, but do not deviate from the rectangular shape. In the latter case, the ratio between the lengths of adjacent sides does not have to be constant, only the rectangular shape must be maintained. We take exception from restricting ourselves to the rectangles when we study relative equilibria along great circles of $\mathbb{S}^2$. Since antipodal configurations are singular for the equations of motion, we consider in that case trapezoidal (non-rectangular) configurations.

In Section 2, we introduce the equations of motion. In Section 3, we show that trapezoidal (non-rectangular) relative equilibria do not exist when the bodies move along a great circle of $\mathbb{S}^2$. The nonexistence of rectangular relative equilibria in $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{H}^2$ is proved in Section 4. Sections 5 and 6, however, show the existence of large classes of rectangular rotopulsators and relative equilibria in $\mathbb{S}^3$ and $\mathbb{H}^3$. These results suggest that the dynamics of the curved 4-body problem is much richer in 3D than it is in 2D, so they make the case for further pursuing the search of such orbits in $\mathbb{S}^3$ and $\mathbb{H}^3$.

2. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Consider 4 point particles (bodies) of masses $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 > 0$ moving in $\mathbb{S}^2$ or $\mathbb{S}^3$ (embedded in the ambient Euclidean space) or in $\mathbb{H}^2$ or $\mathbb{H}^3$ (embedded in the ambient Minkowski space), where

$$\mathbb{S}^3 = \{(w, x, y, z) \mid w^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}, \quad \mathbb{S}^2 = \{\mathbb{S}^3 \text{ with } w = 0\},$$

$$\mathbb{H}^3 = \{(w, x, y, z) \mid w^2 + x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = -1, z > 0\}, \quad \mathbb{H}^2 = \{\mathbb{H}^3 \text{ with } w = 0\}.$$

Then the configuration of the system is described by the vector

$$\mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4),$$

where $\mathbf{q}_i = (w_i, x_i, y_i, z_i)$ in 3D and $\mathbf{q}_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i)$ in 2D, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, denote the position vectors of the bodies. The equations of motion (see [4] or [9] for their derivation using constrained Lagrangian dynamics) are given by the system

$$(1) \quad \ddot{\mathbf{q}}_i = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \frac{m_j [\mathbf{q}_j - \sigma(\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j) \mathbf{q}_i]}{[\sigma - \sigma(\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j)^2]^{3/2}} - \sigma(\dot{\mathbf{q}}_i \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}_i) \mathbf{q}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

with initial-condition constraints

$$(2) \quad (\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_i)(0) = \sigma, \quad (\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}_i)(0) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

where $\cdot$ is the standard inner product of signature $(+, +, +, +)$ in $\mathbb{S}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ and $(+, +, +)$ in $\mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, but the Lorentz inner product of signature $(+, +, +, -)$ in $\mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ and $(+, +, -)$ in $\mathbb{H}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$, and $\sigma = \pm 1$, depending on whether the curvature is positive or negative, with $\subset \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ and $\subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ denoting the 2D and 3D Minkowski spaces, respectively. Relative to each inner product, the force acting on

each body has a tangential component (the above sum) and a radial component (the term involving the velocities).

From Noether's theorem, system (1) has the energy integral,

$$T(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) - U(\mathbf{q}) = h,$$

where

$$U(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \frac{\sigma m_i m_j \mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j}{[\sigma - \sigma(\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j)^2]^{1/2}}$$

is the force function ($-U$ representing the potential),

$$T(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i (\dot{\mathbf{q}}_i \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}_i) (\sigma \mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_i)$$

is the kinetic energy, with h representing an integration constant; and the integrals of the total angular momentum,

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 m_i \mathbf{q}_i \wedge \dot{\mathbf{q}}_i = \mathbf{c},$$

where $\wedge$ is the wedge product and $\mathbf{c} = (c_{wx}, c_{wy}, c_{wz}, c_{xy}, c_{xz}, c_{yz})$ denotes an integration vector in 3D, whereas $\mathbf{c} = (c_{xy}, c_{xz}, c_{yz})$ in 2D, each component measuring the rotation of the system about the origin of the frame relative to the plane corresponding to the bottom indices (in 2D it is customary to express the rotation relative to an axis orthogonal to this plane).

3. TRAPEZOIDAL FIXED POINTS IN $\mathbb{S}^2$

In this section we study the motion of the 4 bodies along great circles of $\mathbb{S}^2$. Notice, however, that the equations of motion lose meaning if at least a pair of bodies are antipodal, therefore rectangular orbits along great circles of $\mathbb{S}^2$ cannot exist. We will therefore check whether trapezoidal orbits occur. Of course, thought on $\mathbb{S}^2$, this figure would be degenerate, therefore we prefer to regard it as an Euclidean trapezoid in the plane of the equator, $z = 0$. Due to symmetries, it is natural to restrict to the case when the masses on each of the parallel sides of the trapezoid are equal. We can now prove the following result, which refers to fixed points, i.e. configurations in which no motion takes place.

Theorem 1. *In the curved 4-body problem in $\mathbb{S}^2$, there are no fixed points inscribed in any great circle, such that the bodies form a trapezoid with equal masses on each of the parallel sides, i.e. $m_1 = m_2 := m > 0$ and $m_3 = m_4 := M > 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, consider an arbitrary non-rectangular trapezoid inscribed in the equator, $z = 0$. Let the y -axis bisect the parallel chords connecting the bodies, and assume the shorter parallel chord to be on the positive y side. All angles are measured from the positive x -axis. By thus fixing the coordinate

system, each body m_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, is located in quadrant i at initial position $\mathbf{q}_i(0) = (x_i(0), y_i(0))$. Then

$$(3) \quad x_1(0) = -x_2(0), \quad x_3(0) = -x_4(0), \quad y_1(0) = y_2(0), \quad y_3(0) = y_4(0).$$

To form a fixed point of system (1), the bodies m_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, must satisfy the initial conditions

$$\ddot{\mathbf{q}}_i(0) = \dot{\mathbf{q}}_i(0) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Using equations (1) and eliminating the duplications given by linearly dependent equations, we are led to the linear homogeneous system

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0},$$

where $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} q_{12} & q_{13} + q_{14} \\ q_{31} + q_{32} & q_{34} \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{x} = (m, M)^T$, and, for $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, i \neq j$,

$$(5) \quad q_{ij} = \frac{x_j - a_{ij}x_i}{(1 - a_{ij}^2)^{3/2}}, \quad a_{ij} = x_i x_j + y_i y_j.$$

To prove the result, we will show that $\det \mathbf{A} < 0$, where

$$(6) \quad \det \mathbf{A} = q_{12}q_{34} - (q_{13} + q_{14})(q_{31} + q_{32}) = q_{12}q_{34} - q_{13}q_{31} - q_{13}q_{32} - q_{14}q_{32} - q_{14}q_{31}.$$

For this, we first express $\det \mathbf{A}$ in terms of (5), and then convert the expression to polar coordinates that avoid any rectangular configuration, i.e. take

$$x_1 = \cos \alpha, \quad y_1 = \sin \alpha, \quad x_3 = \cos \beta, \quad y_3 = \sin \beta,$$

with $\alpha \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $\beta \in (\pi, 3\pi/2)$, but such that $\beta - \alpha \neq \pi$. Some long but straightforward computations show that

$$(7) \quad \det A = \frac{\cos \alpha \cos \beta}{16|\cos \alpha|^3|\cos \beta|^3|\sin \alpha||\sin \beta|} + \frac{4 \sin^2 \alpha \sin^2 \beta \cos \alpha \cos \beta [\sin^4(\alpha + \beta) - \sin^4(\alpha - \beta)]}{\sin^4(\alpha - \beta) \sin^4(\alpha + \beta)}.$$

It is easy to see that, on the above domains of α and β , $\det \mathbf{A}$ is always negative, so the only solution of system (4) is the trivial one, $m = M = 0$. $\square$

Since relative equilibria can be generated only from fixed-point configurations by giving the particle system a rotation (see [4] for a proof), an obvious consequence of this result is the following statement.

Corollary 1. *In the curved 4-body problem in $\mathbb{S}^2$, there are no trapezoidal relative equilibria generated from fixed points if the masses on each of the parallel sides are equal.*

4. RECTANGULAR RELATIVE EQUILIBRIA IN $\mathbb{S}^2$ AND $\mathbb{H}^2$

Since rectangular relative equilibria might exist in $\mathbb{S}^2$ if they stay away from great circles, we will further check this possibility. In $\mathbb{H}^2$ we have no restrictions such as the one for great circles in $\mathbb{S}^2$, so we treat the positive and the negative curvature simultaneously.

Theorem 2. *In the curved 4-body problem in $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{H}^2$, there are no four equal masses $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 =: m > 0$ and initial conditions that could lead to a rectangular relative equilibrium.*

Proof. We will show that the only possible rectangular equilibrium of equal masses in $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{H}^2$ is the square, a solution that was already identified in [2].

Notice first that we can write the angles between the particles and the x -axis viewed from the centre of the (Euclidean) rectangle in the plane $z = c$, $c \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$ as

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha, \quad \alpha_2 = \pi - \alpha, \quad \alpha_3 = \pi + \alpha, \quad \alpha_4 = -\alpha, \quad \alpha \in (0, \pi/2),$$

for the respective m_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We are seeking solutions of system (1) of the form

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{q} &= (\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4), \mathbf{q}_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i), \\ x_i &= r \cos(\omega t + \alpha_i), \quad y_i = r \sin(\omega t + \alpha_i), \quad z_i = z = (1 - r^2)^{1/2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \end{aligned}$$

where ω is the angular velocity of the point masses and r is the radius of the circles formed by the intersection of the $\mathbb{S}^2$ or $\mathbb{H}^2$ with the plane that contains the rectangle, which must be different from $z = 0$. Substituting a candidate solution of the form (8) into system (1), we are led to the equations

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega^2 &= m \frac{[-1 - (\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2)]}{(\sigma r^2 - 1)[\sigma - \sigma(\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2)^2]^{3/2}} + \\ & m \frac{[-1 - (\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_3)]}{(\sigma r^2 - 1)[\sigma - \sigma(\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_3)^2]^{3/2}} + m \frac{[1 - (\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_4)]}{(\sigma r^2 - 1)[\sigma - \sigma(\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_4)^2]^{3/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega^2 &= m \frac{[1 - (\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2)]}{(\sigma r^2 - 1)[\sigma - \sigma(\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2)^2]^{3/2}} + \\ & m \frac{[-1 - (\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_3)]}{(\sigma r^2 - 1)[\sigma - \sigma(\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_3)^2]^{3/2}} + m \frac{[-1 - (\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_4)]}{(\sigma r^2 - 1)[\sigma - \sigma(\sigma \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_4)^2]^{3/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which must be simultaneously satisfied. By subtracting (9) from (10), we obtain

$$[\sigma - \sigma(\sigma(1 - 2r^2 \cos^2 \alpha))^2]^{3/2} = [\sigma - \sigma(\sigma(1 - 2r^2 \sin^2 \alpha))^2]^{3/2},$$

which is equivalent to $\cos^2 \alpha = \sin^2 \alpha$, therefore satisfied only for $\alpha = \pi/4$. $\square$

5. RECTANGULAR ORBITS IN $\mathbb{S}^3$

In this section we prove the existence of two classes of rectangular orbits in $\mathbb{S}^3$. The orbits in the first class are rotopulsators that exhibit one elliptic rotation, therefore we will call them rectangular positive rotopulsators. The orbits in the second class are relative equilibria that exhibit two elliptic rotations, so we will call them rectangular positive elliptic-elliptic relative equilibria, [5].

Theorem 3 (Rectangular Positive Elliptic Rotopulsators). *In the curved 4-body problem in $\mathbb{S}^3$, there is a class of rectangular rotopulsators for which one angular momentum constant is nonzero, whereas the other five are zero.*

Proof. Consider equal masses, $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m_4 := m > 0$, and a candidate solution of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{q} &= (\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4), \quad \mathbf{q}_i = (w_i, x_i, y_i, z_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\
 w_1 &= r \cos \alpha, \quad x_1 = r \sin \alpha, \quad y_1 = y, \quad z_1 = z, \\
 (11) \quad w_2 &= r \cos(\alpha + \theta), \quad x_2 = r \sin(\alpha + \theta), \quad y_2 = y, \quad z_2 = z, \\
 w_3 &= r \cos(\alpha + \pi), \quad x_3 = r \sin(\alpha + \pi), \quad y_3 = y, \quad z_3 = z, \\
 w_4 &= r \cos(\alpha + \theta + \pi), \quad x_4 = r \sin(\alpha + \theta + \pi), \quad y_4 = y, \quad z_4 = z,
 \end{aligned}$$

with r, α, y, z functions of t , with $r^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$, and $\theta \neq 0$ is a constant that gives the angle between m_1 and m_2 viewed from the centre of the corresponding Euclidean triangle. A solution of this form would obviously maintain a rectangular configuration for all time. Straightforward computations show that if $q_{ij} = \mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, i \neq j$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{12} &= q_{21} = q_{34} = q_{43} = (1 - y^2 - z^2) \cos \theta + y^2 + z^2 = (1 - \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2, \\
 q_{13} &= q_{31} = q_{24} = q_{42} = -(1 - y^2 - z^2) + y^2 + z^2 = -1 + 2\delta z^2, \\
 q_{14} &= q_{41} = q_{23} = q_{32} = -(1 - y^2 - z^2) \cos \theta + y^2 + z^2 = (-1 + \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2, \\
 \dot{\alpha} &= \frac{c}{4m(1 - y^2 - z^2)} = \frac{c}{4m(1 - \delta z^2)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = \gamma^2 + 1$, and $\gamma = y/z$. From the equations of motion it follows that y and z must satisfy the system

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{y} = F(y, z, \dot{y}, \dot{z})y \\ \ddot{z} = F(y, z, \dot{y}, \dot{z})z, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(y, z, \dot{y}, \dot{z}) &= m \left[\frac{1 - [(1 - \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2]}{(1 - [(1 - \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2]^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{1 - (-1 + 2\delta z^2)}{(1 - [-1 + 2\delta z^2]^2)^{3/2}} + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{1 - [(-1 + \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2]}{(1 - [(-1 + \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2]^2)^{3/2}} \right] - \frac{\dot{y}^2 + \dot{z}^2 - (y\dot{z} - \dot{y}z)^2}{1 - \delta z^2} - \frac{c^2}{16m^2(1 - \delta z^2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (12), we know that $y\ddot{z} = \ddot{y}z$, which implies $y\dot{z} - \dot{y}z = k$ (constant). However, from the angular momentum integrals we have that $4m(y\dot{z} - \dot{y}z) = c_{yz}$, so it follows that $k = c_{yz}/4m$. But a straightforward computation shows that $c_{yz} = 0$, which implies that $y\dot{z} - \dot{y}z = 0$ and, therefore, $\frac{d}{dt}\frac{y}{z} = 0$ for $z \neq 0$, so $\gamma = y(t)/z(t)$ is a constant. Next, we note that

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sin \alpha + \sin(\alpha + \theta) + \sin(\alpha + \pi) + \sin(\alpha + \theta + \pi) = \\ \cos \alpha + \cos(\alpha + \theta) + \cos(\alpha + \pi) + \cos(\alpha + \theta + \pi) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which we can conclude that $c_{wy} = c_{wz} = c_{xy} = c_{xz} = 0$. This means that the rectangle has no rotation relative to any plane apart from the wx -plane. The energy relation takes the form

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} h = \frac{2m[\dot{y}^2 + \dot{z}^2 - (y\dot{z} - \dot{y}z)^2]}{1 - \delta z^2} + \frac{c^2}{8m(1 - \delta z^2)} - \\ 2m^2 \left[\frac{q_{12}}{(1 - q_{12}^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{q_{13}}{(1 - q_{13}^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{q_{14}}{(1 - q_{14}^2)^{1/2}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and we can now write F as

$$\begin{aligned} F(y, z) = m \left[\frac{1 - 2[(1 - \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2] + [(1 - \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2]^3}{(1 - [(1 - \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2]^2)^{3/2}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1 - 2(-1 + 2\delta z^2) + (-1 + 2\delta z^2)^3}{(1 - (-1 + 2\delta z^2)^2)^{3/2}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1 - 2[(-1 + \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2] + [(-1 + \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2]^3}{(1 - [(-1 + \delta z^2) \cos \theta + \delta z^2]^2)^{3/2}} \right] - \frac{h}{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

So, since $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are proportional to each other, we can reduce system (12) to

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z} = \nu \\ \dot{\nu} = F(y, z)z. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by applying the standard existence and uniqueness theorems, we see there exists a large class of analytic rectangular positive elliptic rotopulsating orbits in $\mathbb{S}^3$ for all possible values of the involved parameters. $\square$

Theorem 4 (Rectangular Positive Elliptic-Elliptic Relative Equilibria).
In the curved 4-body problem in $\mathbb{S}^3$, there is a class of rectangular relative equilibria for which the angular momentum constants c_{wx} and c_{yz} are always nonzero.

Proof. Consider equal masses, $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m_4 := m > 0$, and a candidate solution of the form

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{q} &= (\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4), \quad \mathbf{q}_i = (w_i, x_i, y_i, z_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ w_1 &= r \cos \alpha, \quad x_1 = r \sin \alpha, \quad y_1 = \rho \cos \beta, \quad z_1 = \rho \sin \beta, \\ w_2 &= r \cos(\alpha + \theta), \quad x_2 = r \sin(\alpha + \theta), \quad y_2 = \rho \cos(\beta + \theta), \quad z_2 = \rho \sin(\beta + \theta), \\ w_3 &= r \cos(\alpha + \pi), \quad x_3 = r \sin(\alpha + \pi), \quad y_3 = \rho \cos(\beta + \pi), \quad z_3 = \rho \sin(\beta + \pi), \\ w_4 &= r \cos(\alpha + \theta + \pi), \quad x_4 = r \sin(\alpha + \theta + \pi), \\ y_4 &= \rho \cos(\beta + \theta + \pi), \quad z_4 = \rho \sin(\beta + \theta + \pi), \end{aligned}$$

where r, ρ, α, β are functions of t , with $r^2 + \rho^2 = 1$, and $\theta \neq 0$ is a constant that gives the angle between m_1 and m_2 viewed from the centre of the Euclidean rectangle. This candidate solution would obviously maintain a rectangular configuration all along the motion. Computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{12} &= \epsilon_{21} = \epsilon_{34} = \epsilon_{43} = r^2 \cos \theta + (1 - r^2) \cos \theta = \cos \theta, \\ \epsilon_{13} &= \epsilon_{31} = \epsilon_{24} = \epsilon_{42} = r^2 \cos(\pi - \theta) + (1 - r^2) \cos(\pi - \theta) = -\cos \theta, \\ \epsilon_{14} &= \epsilon_{41} = \epsilon_{23} = \epsilon_{32} = r^2 \cos \pi + (1 - r^2) \cos \pi = -1, \\ \dot{\alpha} &= \frac{c_1}{4mr^2}, \quad \dot{\beta} = \frac{c_2}{4m\rho^2} = \frac{c_2}{4m(1 - r^2)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_{ij} = \mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $i \neq j$, $c_1 = c_{wx} \neq 0$ and $c_2 = c_{yz} \neq 0$. Since all ϵ_{ij} expressions are constant, it means that the orbit has constant mutual distances all along the motion, therefore it is a relative equilibrium, not a rotapulsator, although r and ρ vary in these coordinates.

A long computation shows that the equations of motion reduce to

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} = \mu \\ \dot{\mu} = r(1 - r^2) \left[\frac{c_1^2}{16m^2r^4} - \frac{c_2^2}{16m^2(1 - r^2)^2} \right] - \frac{r\dot{r}^2}{1 - r^2}. \end{cases}$$

The energy relation is given by

$$(18) \quad h = \frac{2m\dot{r}^2}{1 - r^2} + \frac{c_1^2}{8mr^2} + \frac{c_2^2}{8m(1 - r^2)} - \frac{2m^2\epsilon_{12}}{(1 - \epsilon_{12}^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{2m^2\epsilon_{13}}{(1 - \epsilon_{13}^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{2m^2\epsilon_{14}}{(1 - \epsilon_{14}^2)^{1/2}}.$$

Substituting (18) into (17), we obtain the equivalent system

$$(19) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} = \mu \\ \dot{\mu} = \left\{ \frac{c_1^2}{16m^2r^4} - m \left[\frac{\epsilon_{12}(1 - \epsilon_{12}^2)}{(1 - \epsilon_{12}^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\epsilon_{13}(1 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{(1 - \epsilon_{13}^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\epsilon_{14}(1 - \epsilon_{14}^2)}{(1 - \epsilon_{14}^2)^{3/2}} \right] - \frac{h}{2m} \right\} r. \end{cases}$$

Standard existence and uniqueness results show the existence of a large class of analytic rectangular elliptic-elliptic relative equilibria in $\mathbb{S}^3$ for any choice of the involved parameters. $\square$

6. RECTANGULAR ROTOPULSATORS IN $\mathbb{H}^3$

In this final section we prove the existence of three classes of rectangular rotopulsators in $\mathbb{H}^3$. The orbits in the first class change size and exhibit one elliptic rotation, therefore we will call them rectangular negative elliptic rotopulsators. The orbits in the second class change size and exhibit one hyperbolic rotation, so we will call them rectangular negative hyperbolic rotopulsators. The orbits in the third class change size and exhibit two rotations, one elliptic and one hyperbolic, so we will call them rectangular negative elliptic-hyperbolic rotopulsators, [5].

Theorem 5 (Rectangular Negative Elliptic Rotopulsators). *In the curved 4-body problem in $\mathbb{H}^3$, there is a class of rectangular rotopulsators that exhibit one elliptic rotation, i.e. such that $c_{wx} \neq 0$.*

Proof. Consider a candidate solution of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{q} &= (\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4), \quad \mathbf{q}_i = (w_i, x_i, y_i, z_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\
 w_1 &= r \cos \alpha, \quad x_1 = r \sin \alpha, \quad y_1 = y, \quad z_1 = z, \\
 (20) \quad w_2 &= r \cos(\alpha + \theta), \quad x_2 = r \sin(\alpha + \theta), \quad y_2 = y, \quad z_2 = z, \\
 w_3 &= r \cos(\alpha + \pi), \quad x_3 = r \sin(\alpha + \pi), \quad y_3 = y, \quad z_3 = z, \\
 w_4 &= r \cos(\alpha + \theta + \pi), \quad x_4 = r \sin(\alpha + \theta + \pi), \quad y_4 = y, \quad z_4 = z,
 \end{aligned}$$

where r, α, y, z are functions of t , with $r^2 + y^2 - z^2 = -1$, and $\theta \neq 0$ is a constant that measures the angle between m_1 and m_2 viewed from the centre of the Euclidean rectangle. It's easy to see that his candidate solution maintains a rectangular configuration for all time. Straightforward computations show that, if $\mu_{ij} = \mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $i \neq j$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{12} &= \mu_{21} = \mu_{34} = \mu_{43} = (z^2 - y^2 - 1) \cos \theta + y^2 - z^2, \\
 \mu_{13} &= \mu_{31} = \mu_{24} = \mu_{42} = -(z^2 - y^2 - 1) + y^2 - z^2, \\
 \mu_{14} &= \mu_{41} = \mu_{23} = \mu_{32} = -(z^2 - y^2 - 1) \cos \theta + y^2 - z^2, \\
 \dot{\alpha} &= \frac{b}{4m(z^2 - y^2 - 1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these values into the equations of motion, we obtain the system

$$(21) \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{y} = G(y, z, \dot{y}, \dot{z})y \\ \ddot{z} = G(y, z, \dot{y}, \dot{z})z, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(22) \quad G(y, z, \dot{y}, \dot{z}) = m \left[\frac{1 + \mu_{12}}{(\mu_{12}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{1 + \mu_{13}}{(\mu_{13}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{1 + \mu_{14}}{(\mu_{14}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \right] + \frac{\dot{z}^2 - \dot{y}^2 + (y\dot{z} - \dot{y}z)^2}{z^2 - y^2 - 1} + \frac{b^2}{16m^2(z^2 - y^2 - 1)}.$$

From (21), we know that $y\ddot{z} = \ddot{y}z$, which implies $y\dot{z} - \dot{y}z = k$ (constant). However, from the integrals of angular momentum we have that $4m(y\dot{z} - \dot{y}z) = c_{yz}$, so it follows that $k = c_{yz}/4m$. A simple computation shows that $c_{yz} = 0$, therefore $y\dot{z} - \dot{y}z = 0$, so $\frac{d}{dt}\frac{y}{z} = 0$ for $z \neq 0$. Consequently $z(t) = \gamma y(t)$, where γ is constant, so we can reduce (21) to the system

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z} = \nu \\ \dot{\nu} = G(y, z, \dot{y}, \dot{z})z. \end{cases}$$

The energy integral is

$$h = \frac{2m[(y\dot{z} - z\dot{y})^2 + \dot{z}^2 - \dot{y}^2]}{z^2 - y^2 - 1} + \frac{b^2}{8m(z^2 - y^2 - 1)} + \frac{2m^2\mu_{12}(\mu_{12}^2 - 1)}{(\mu_{12}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{2m^2\mu_{13}(\mu_{13}^2 - 1)}{(\mu_{13}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{2m^2\mu_{14}(\mu_{14}^2 - 1)}{(\mu_{14}^2 - 1)^{3/2}}.$$

Using this relation, we can now rewrite (22) as

$$(24) \quad G(y, z) = m \left[\frac{1 + 2\mu_{12} - \mu_{12}^3}{(\mu_{12}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{1 + 2\mu_{13} - \mu_{13}^3}{(\mu_{13}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{1 + 2\mu_{14} - \mu_{14}^3}{(\mu_{14}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \right] + \frac{h}{2m}.$$

System (23) becomes

$$(25) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z} = \nu \\ \dot{\nu} = G(y, z)z, \end{cases}$$

so standard existence and uniqueness results of the theory of differential equations ensure that a large class of rectangular negative elliptic rotopulsators exists. $\square$

Theorem 6 (Rectangular Negative Hyperbolic Rotopulsators). *In the curved 4-body problem in $\mathbb{H}^3$, there is a class of rectangular rotopulsators that exhibit one hyperbolic rotation, so except for $c_{yz} \neq 0$, the other five angular-momentum constants are zero.*

Proof. Consider a solution candidate of the form

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{q} &= (\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4), \quad \mathbf{q}_i = (w_i, x_i, y_i, z_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ w_1 &= w, \quad x_1 = x, \quad y_1 = \eta \sinh \beta, \quad z_1 = \eta \cosh \beta, \\ w_2 &= -w, \quad x_2 = -x, \quad y_2 = \eta \sinh \beta, \quad z_2 = \eta \cosh \beta, \\ w_3 &= w, \quad x_3 = x, \quad y_3 = \eta \sinh(\beta + \phi), \quad z_3 = \eta \cosh(\beta + \phi), \\ w_4 &= -w, \quad x_4 = -x, \quad y_4 = \eta \sinh(\beta + \phi), \quad z_4 = \eta \cosh(\beta + \phi), \end{aligned}$$

where w, x, η, β are functions of t , $\phi \neq 0$ is a constant that measures the angle between m_1 and m_2 , and $w^2 + x^2 - \eta^2 = -1$. This candidate solution is obviously a rectangular negative hyperbolic orbit. If $\nu_{ij} = \mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j$, straightforward

computations show that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{12} &= \nu_{21} = \nu_{34} = \nu_{43} = -2w^2 - 2x^2 - 1, \\ \nu_{13} &= \nu_{31} = \nu_{24} = \nu_{42} = w^2 + x^2 - (w^2 + x^2 + 1) \cosh \phi, \\ \nu_{14} &= \nu_{41} = \nu_{23} = \nu_{32} = -w^2 - x^2 - (w^2 + x^2 + 1) \cosh \phi, \\ \dot{\beta} &= \frac{a}{4m(w^2 + x^2 + 1)},\end{aligned}$$

The equations of motion take the form

$$(27) \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{w}_1 = K(w, x, \dot{w}, \dot{x})w_1 \\ \ddot{w}_2 = K(w, x, \dot{w}, \dot{x})w_2 \\ \ddot{x}_1 = K(w, x, \dot{w}, \dot{x})x_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 = K(w, x, \dot{w}, \dot{x})x_2, \end{cases}$$

where $w_3 = w_1$, $w_4 = w_2$, $x_3 = x_1$, $x_4 = x_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned}K(w, x, \dot{w}, \dot{x}) &= m \left[\frac{1 + \nu_{12}}{(\nu_{12}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{1 + \nu_{13}}{(\nu_{13}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{1 + \nu_{14}}{(\nu_{14}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \right] + \\ &\quad \frac{\dot{w}^2 + \dot{x}^2 + (w\dot{x} - \dot{w}x)^2}{w^2 + x^2 + 1} + \frac{a^2}{16m^2(w^2 + x^2 + 1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Because of the equalities amongst the ν_{ij} s, and because $H_1 = H_2 = H_3 = H_4$, where

$$H_i = \frac{\dot{w}_i^2 + \dot{x}_i^2 + (w_i\dot{x}_i - \dot{w}_ix_i)^2}{w_i^2 + x_i^2 + 1} + \frac{a^2}{\sum_{j=1}^4 [m_j(w_j^2 + x_j^2 + 1)]},$$

instead of having a distinct $K_i(w, x, \dot{w}, \dot{x})$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we obtain a single expression K . However, because $w_1 = -w_2$, and $x_1 = -x_2$, (27) contains actually two pairs of linearly dependent equations, which we can reduce to the system

$$(28) \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{w} = K(w, x, \dot{w}, \dot{x})w \\ \ddot{x} = K(w, x, \dot{w}, \dot{x})x. \end{cases}$$

Now, from (28), we know that $w\ddot{x} = x\ddot{w}$, which implies $w\dot{x} - \dot{w}x = k$ (constant). However, from the integrals of angular momentum we have $4m(w\dot{x} - \dot{w}x) = c_{wx}$, so it follows that $k = c_{wx}/4m$. We chose $k = 0$, so $w\dot{x} - \dot{w}x = 0$, therefore, $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{w}{x} = 0$ for $x \neq 0$. Consequently $x(t) = \gamma w(t)$, where γ is constant, so we can reduce (27) to the system

$$(29) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{w} = \xi \\ \dot{\xi} = K(w, x, \dot{w}, \dot{x})w. \end{cases}$$

A simple computation shows that $c_{yz} \neq 0$, whereas the remaining angular momentum constants are zero. Using the energy integral

$$h = \frac{2m[\dot{w}^2 + \dot{x}^2 + (w\dot{x} - \dot{w}x)^2]}{w^2 + x^2 + 1} + \frac{a^2}{8m(w^2 + x^2 + 1)} + \left[\frac{2m^2\nu_{12}(\nu_{12}^2 - 1)}{(\nu_{12}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{2m^2\nu_{13}(\nu_{13}^2 - 1)}{(\nu_{13}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{2m^2\nu_{14}(\nu_{14}^2 - 1)}{(\nu_{14}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \right],$$

K becomes

$$(30) \quad K(w, x) = m \left[\frac{1 + 2\nu_{12} - \nu_{12}^3}{(\nu_{12}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{1 + 2\nu_{13} - \nu_{13}^3}{(\nu_{13}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{1 + 2\nu_{14} - \nu_{14}^3}{(\nu_{14}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \right] + \frac{h}{2m}.$$

Therefore (29) has the form

$$(31) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{w} = \xi \\ \dot{\xi} = K(w, x)w. \end{cases}$$

Standard existence and uniqueness results show the existence of a large class of rectangular negative hyperbolic rotopulsators for all possible values of the involved parameters. $\square$

Theorem 7 (Rectangular Negative Elliptic-Hyperbolic Rotopulsators).

In the curved 4-body problem in $\mathbb{H}^3$, there is a class of rectangular rotopulsators that exhibit two rotations, one elliptic and one hyperbolic, so $c_{wx} \neq 0$ and $c_{yz} \neq 0$, whereas the other four angular-momentum constants are zero.

Proof. Consider a solution candidate of the form

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{q} &= (\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4), \quad \mathbf{q}_i = (w_i, x_i, y_i, z_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ w_1 &= r \cos \alpha, \quad x_1 = r \sin \alpha, \quad y_1 = \eta \sinh \beta, \quad z_1 = \eta \cosh \beta, \\ w_2 &= -r \cos \alpha, \quad x_2 = -r \sin \alpha, \quad y_2 = \eta \sinh \beta, \quad z_2 = \eta \cosh \beta, \\ w_3 &= r \cos \alpha, \quad x_3 = r \sin \alpha, \quad y_3 = \eta \sinh(\beta + \phi), \quad z_3 = \eta \cosh(\beta + \phi), \\ w_4 &= -r \cos \alpha, \quad x_4 = -r \sin \alpha, \quad y_4 = \eta \sinh(\beta + \phi), \quad z_4 = \eta \cosh(\beta + \phi), \end{aligned}$$

with r, η, α, β functions of t , $r^2 - \eta^2 = -1$, $z_i \geq 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and $\phi \neq 0$ a constant that measures the angle between m_1 and m_2 viewed from the centre of the Euclidean rectangle. This candidate solution obviously maintains a rectangular configuration for all time. If $\delta_{ij} = \mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j$, straightforward computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{12} &= \delta_{21} = \delta_{34} = \delta_{43} = -r^2 - (1 + r^2) = -2r^2 - 1, \\ \delta_{13} &= \delta_{31} = \delta_{24} = \delta_{42} = r^2 - (1 + r^2) \cosh \phi, \\ \delta_{14} &= \delta_{41} = \delta_{23} = \delta_{32} = -r^2 - (1 + r^2) \cosh \phi, \\ \dot{\alpha} &= \frac{d_1}{4mr^2}, \quad \dot{\beta} = \frac{d_2}{4m(1 + r^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The equations of motion reduce to the system

$$(33) \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{r}_1 = r(1+r^2) \left[\frac{d_1^2}{16m^2r^4} - \frac{d_2^2}{16m^2(1+r^2)^2} \right] + \frac{r\dot{r}^2}{1+r^2} + \\ \frac{m[-2r(1+r^2)]}{(\delta_{12}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{m[r(1+r^2)(1 - \cosh \phi)]}{(\delta_{13}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{m[-r(1+r^2)(1 + \cosh \phi)]}{(\delta_{14}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \\ \ddot{r}_2 = -r(1+r^2) \left[\frac{d_1^2}{16m^2r^4} - \frac{d_2^2}{16m^2(1+r^2)^2} \right] - \frac{r\dot{r}^2}{1+r^2} + \\ \frac{m[2r(1+r^2)(1 + \cosh \phi)]}{(\delta_{14}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{m[-r(1+r^2)(1 - \cosh \phi)]}{(\delta_{24}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{m[2r(1+r^2)]}{(\delta_{34}^2 - 1)^{3/2}}, \end{cases}$$

where $d_1 = c_{wx} \neq 0$ and $d_2 = -c_{yz} \neq 0$. The other four angular momentum constants are zero. Notice that $\ddot{r}_1 = -\ddot{r}_2$, so the system can be further reduced to

$$(34) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} = \mu \\ \dot{\mu} = r \left\{ (1+r^2) \left[\frac{d_1^2}{16m^2r^4} - \frac{d_2^2}{16m^2(1+r^2)^2} \right] + \frac{\dot{r}^2}{1+r^2} + \frac{m[-2(1+r^2)]}{(\delta_{12}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \right. \\ \left. \frac{m[(1+r^2)(1 - \cosh \phi)]}{(\delta_{13}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{m[-(1+r^2)(1 + \cosh \phi)]}{(\delta_{14}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \right\}. \end{cases}$$

Using the energy integral,

$$(35) \quad h = \frac{2m\dot{r}^2}{1+r^2} + \frac{d_1^2}{8mr^2} + \frac{d_2^2}{8m(1+r^2)} + \frac{2m^2\delta_{12}}{(\delta_{12}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{2m^2\delta_{13}}{(\delta_{13}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \frac{2m^2\delta_{14}}{(\delta_{14}^2 - 1)^{3/2}},$$

the system becomes

$$(36) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} = \mu \\ \dot{\mu} = \left[\frac{h}{2m} + \frac{d_1^2}{16m^2r^4} - \frac{2d_2^2(1+r^2)}{16m^2(1+r^2)^2} + \frac{m[-2(1+r^2) - \delta_{12}(\delta_{12}^2 - 1)]}{(\delta_{12}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \right. \\ \frac{m[(1+r^2)(1 - \cosh \phi) - \delta_{13}(\delta_{13}^2 - 1)]}{(\delta_{13}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + \\ \left. \frac{m[-(1+r^2)(1 + \cosh \phi) - \delta_{14}(\delta_{14}^2 - 1)]}{(\delta_{14}^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \right] r. \end{cases}$$

Standard existence and uniqueness results show the existence of a large class of rectangular negative elliptic-hyperbolic rotopulsators for all possible values of the involved parameters. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge the partial support of a Discovery Grant (Florin Diacu) and an USRA Fellowship (Brendan Thorn), both awarded by NSERC of Canada.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Diacu, On the singularities of the curved N -body problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363**, 4 (2011), 2249–2264.
- [2] F. Diacu, Polygonal homographic orbits of the curved 3-body problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364** (2012), 2783–2802.
- [3] F. Diacu, Relative equilibria in the 3-dimensional curved n -body problem, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [4] F. Diacu, *Relative Equilibria of the Curved N -Body Problem*, Atlantis Series in Dynamical Systems, vol. 1, Atlantis Press, 2012.
- [5] F. Diacu and S. Kordlou, *Rotopulsators of the curved N -body problem*, arXiv:1210.4947 (40 pages).
- [6] F. Diacu, R. Martínez, E. Pérez-Chavela, and C. Simó, On the stability of tetrahedral relative equilibria in the positively curved 4-body problem, arXiv:1204.5729, *Physica D* (to appear).
- [7] F. Diacu and E. Pérez-Chavela, Homographic solutions of the curved 3-body problem, *J. Differential Equations* **250** (2011), 340–366.
- [8] F. Diacu, E. Pérez-Chavela, and J. Guadalupe Reyes Victoria, An intrinsic approach in the curved N -body problem. The negative curvature case, *J. Differential Equations* **252** (2012), 4529–4562.
- [9] F. Diacu, E. Pérez-Chavela, and M. Santoprete, The N -body problem in spaces of constant curvature. Part I: Relative equilibria, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **22**, 2 (2012), 247–266, DOI: 10.1007/s00332-011-9116-z.
- [10] F. Diacu, E. Pérez-Chavela, and M. Santoprete, The N -body problem in spaces of constant curvature. Part II: Singularities, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **22**, 2 (2012), 267–275, DOI: 10.1007/s00332-011-9117-y.
- [11] E. Pérez-Chavela and J.G. Reyes Victoria, An intrinsic approach in the curved N -body problem. The positive curvature case, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364**, 7 (2012), 3805–3827.
- [12] P. Tibboel, Polygonal homographic orbits in spaces of constant curvature, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **141** (2013), 1465–1471.